## Assessment of the Bachelor's Thesis

Author of thesis: Niels Erhardsen Title of thesis: The semiotic self in a digital ideological machine

Assessment of the primary advisor  $\Box$ 

Assessment of the opponent  $\blacksquare$ 

Author of the assessment (first name, last name, workplace): Tomáš Dvořák, KF FAMU

Evaluation of the content and final form of the thesis (A/excellent – B/very good – C/good – D/good with objections – E/satisfactory – F/unsatisfactory – not recommended for defence)

Suitability of the selected objective and work approach
Relative completeness of the literature used for the selected topicE
Ability to critically evaluate and use scholarly literatureE
Logicality of the thesis structure, connection of its chaptersE
Language and stylistic level of the thesisE
Compliance with citation norms (should the text repeatedly contain adopted passages
without citing the source, the work cannot be recommended for defence)E
Sufficient extent of image attachments, justifiability and suitability of attachments,
graphic layoutE
Originality of the thesis, contribution to the development of the field of studyE

Overall evaluation of the thesis.....E

Verbal evaluation of the thesis including questions that the candidate must address in his/her thesis defence:

It is difficult to summarise and comment on Niels Erhardsen's thesis as it is not a coherent text, which would address some problem through theoretical argument or present original research but rather feels like a haphazard collection of notes and superficial allusions to various philosophical and semiotic concepts with an alleged ambition to say, in dreadful English, something about contemporary digital culture.

Therefore, my main objection is factual: the car in Knight Rider is not called KIT but KITT and doesn't have a female but a male voice. And my question for the defence: what's this got to do with photography and/or visual culture and/or visual arts?

Date: ......4/6/2021.....

Signature: