

SUPERVISOR'S ASSESSMENT OF A WRITTEN THESIS

Thesis title: Montage of A Joke in dialogue-less Film

Thesis author: Anagha Anand

Programme of study: Montage **Programme type:** Master's

Definition of objectives and their fulfilment: A

Topicality of the thesis topic (and relevance of the selected methodology in the case of a Master's thesis): B

Scholarly contribution, originality of the thesis, and its utilisation in practice: B

Logical construction and structuring of the thesis: C

Formal requirements and requisite contents of the thesis, including its length: B

Level of language, style and terminology: B

Reviewer's opinion on the result of the check by the Theses system: B

Evaluator's overall summary:

Anagha worked on her thesis very carefully and dutifully. She made a very good work.

Anagha 's thesis not only meets the standard requirements for final thesis, but in many aspects even surpasses them. I appreciate the chapter 4 that is personal contribution of the author - introducing a term "Chain of attraction". But the title of this chapter - *Pushpaka Vimanna and Soviet cinema*, doesnt exactly correspond with its content - the title *Puspaka Vimanna and S. M. Eisentein* would not be better?

I am not agree with the title of the Chapter 5. *The Post-production of Pushpaka Vimanna*. It is very good that Anagha made an interview with a director, but there were two options – first incorporate the directors statements in other chapters or to labeled it as "*Appendix – interview with Singeetam*" and not a se Chapter 5.

Despite the critical comments above, I highly recommend this thesis for defence and propose the grade **B**.

Questions and topics for discussion at the oral defence:

Recommendation of the thesis for VFS

It seems to me, that the diagram on p. 48 is "too symmetrical" I think that the "Action starts to expire" follows earlier after "Peak of the action". Can you defend your shape of the curve?

Why in the subchapter *Location, set design & props* (p. 41-44) are not mentioned the "corridors"? Symmetrically composed corridors with many doors are very significant for this film.

the oral defence:	120	
Recommended grade:	В	
Date of elaboration of this assessment:	4.9.2023	
Martin Čihák	•••	4.9.2023
Name of the thesis supervisor		(date and signature)